## **FILED**

Jul 23 2025 Mark B. Busby

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND

Un	ited States of America,	Case No. 4: 25-MJ-70526-MAC
	Plaintiff, ) v. )	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
enust	ernandez-Reyes	
	Defendant(s).	
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on $\frac{1}{23}$ , the court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from $\frac{1}{23}$ to $\frac{1}{25}$ to $\frac{1}{25}$ and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):		
-	Failure to grant a continuance would be l See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ikely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
·	defendants, the nature of the prose or law, that it is unreasonable to expect a	the number of coution, or the existence of novel questions of fact dequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial y this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
_	<del></del>	y the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, ligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
· ·		easonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given ents, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
<u> </u>	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	
With the consent of the defendant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first paragraph and — based on the parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for extending the 30-day time period for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the exclusions set forth above). See Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).		
	exercisions set form above). See Fed. R.	Simil 1. 3.1, 10 0.3.0. § 3101(0).
IT IS SO ORDERED.		
DATED: 7/23/25  Peter H. Kang Nathanael Cousins		
	D. 1	United States Magistrate Judge
ST	IPULATED:	- TIMUS MILL
	Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney